

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,590	01/18/2005	Mitsunori Toyoda	122397	2777
25944 OUTER & BER	25944 7590 03/22/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			NGUYEN, HUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/521,590	TOYODA, MITSUNORI			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hung Henry V. Nguyen	2851			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Hung Henry V. Nguyen.	(3)				
(2) Mario A Costantino.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 16 March 2007.	•				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)  applicant's representa	ative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claim 14</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments th				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
,					
,					
,	ia				
		erherum			
	HE	NRY HUNG NGUYEN RIMARY EXAMINER			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On a telephone call of March 16, 2007, Attorney for applicants: Mr. Costantino informed the Examiner that in the office action sent 12/15/2007, the status of claim 14 is unclear. Upon review the mentioned office action, the Examiner recognized that claim 14 has been indicated as objected claim in the office action summary and in section 9 of the Office Action even though the limitations of claim 14 were discussed in section 6, page 5. The Examiner would like to confirm with the applicant that claim 14 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on references of Kato and Hiraiwa (as set forth in section 6). The Examiner regrets and appologizes for any inconveniences caused to the applicants due to this typographical error.